

From: Dawn Ioven/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 3/19/2012 8:24:32 AM
To: Kelley Chase
CC: Lora Werner; Richard Fetzer
Subject: Re: QLs for Dimock Data

Hi, Kelley. You're right; in the summary of exceedances prepared by the contractor, chromium is listed twice. The first entry gives a QL of 10 ug/L, the second gives a QL of 2 ug/L. I caught that the first time I went through the file (and even made a notation in the margin about the differing QLs), but when I wrote my comments, I only mentioned the higher QL. I will change that in the final version.

I also talked to Cindy last Friday and asked about the MDLs of zero. She thought that probably reflected a value of less than one that the software rounded down to zero. She was going to verify that. She also said the lab could routinely provide us with the MDLs for VOCs and semi-VOCs; I told her that would be very helpful.

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Dawn

Dawn A. Ioven, toxicologist
U.S. EPA - Region III
(3HS41)
1650 Arch street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215.814.3320

[IMAGE]

Re: QLs for Dimock Data E6FBB857F84DBABA852579C40010FC05

[IMAGE]

Dawn Ioven

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

[IMAGE]

Dawn -

Thanks! This is very helpful. I will talk with Rich tomorrow and we'll get back to you.

I do have one comment now - I see that you have noted the QL for Chromium as being 10 ug/L.

However - I believe that the lab was typically achieving 2ug/L.

Also - I spoke with Cindy Caporale and our SERAS contractor who is managing our database. We realized that the MDL for the organics is not always being recorded in the EDD from the lab. And for some unknown it is often listed as "0". However, this is not correct. We are working to get MDLs in the database. Until we can, Cindy is following -up to get you a list of the actual MDL for each analyte. Hopefully this will help in the review of the upcoming data.

On that notewe are still working to get the week #2 data (20 homes) finalized. I am hopeful that we will be sending you the tox memo's late tomorrow.

Thanks Again! Hang in there - Kelley

Inactive hide details for Dawn loven---03/16/2012 11:05:31 PM---From: Dawn loven/R3/USEPA/US

To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US Dawn loven---03/16/2012 11:05:31 PM---From: Dawn

loven/R3/USEPA/US To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US

From:Dawn loven/R3/USEPA/US

To:Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US

Cc:Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US, Lora Werner/R3/USEPA/US

Date:03/16/2012 11:05 PM

Subject:QLs for Dimock Data

Hi, Kelley. The attachment should address questions regarding QLs and RSLs for the Dimock data. Let me know what you think, and what the final format should be (memo or e-mail). Thanks.

Dawn

Dawn A. loven, toxicologist

U.S. EPA - Region III

(3HS41)

1650 Arch street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215.814.3320

[attachment "Dimock QLs.docx" deleted by Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US]